

Message

From: Bahadori, Tina [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=7DA7967DCAFB4C5BBC39C666FEE31EC3-BAHADORI, TINA]
Sent: 1/22/2019 6:50:48 AM
To: **Personal Matters / Ex. 6**
CC: Ross, Mary [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=98359cd1f66f46ec91d327e99a3c6909-Ross, Mary]
BCC: Tina Bahadori **Personal Matters / Ex. 6**
Subject: Mary, QFRs- if you have time
Attachments: IRIS Handbook 1.0_Nov2018_redline.docx

Mary,

If you have a little time this morning, would you please take a look at these responses and see what you think? If you don't have time, please don't worry. They are due to Liz by 11.

Thanks,

T.

=====

Hi Liz,

As you requested, here are the NCEA responses to the two questions.

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5 Please provide a copy of the IRIS Handbook that has been completed but is not yet published. (Sen Carper question)

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5 The EPA Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS) program completed revisions of its formaldehyde assessment in the fall of 2017. In reports accompanying the Consolidated Appropriations Act of 2017, both chambers of Congress directed that the agency contract with the National Academy of Sciences (NAS) to conduct an external peer review of the revised IRIS formaldehyde assessment. Accordingly, EPA has already provided \$1 million to the NAS for this purpose. The January 2018 EPA IRIS report to Congress indicated that "IRIS plans to deliver an External Review of its Formaldehyde Assessment for public comment and peer review in FY18." I have repeatedly inquired about the status of the IRIS formaldehyde assessment and repeatedly requested that EPA advance the assessment to finalization—a process that involves intra- and inter-agency review, external peer review by the NAS, and public comment.

- a. Will the IRIS program continue to work on and finalize its formaldehyde assessment? If not, why not?

NCEA Response

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

- b. Please provide the timeline and agenda items that will allow EPA to complete the remaining steps in the review process for the revised IRIS formaldehyde assessment.
- i. When will the agency initiate the intra-agency review process?

NCEA Response:

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

- ii. When will the agency initiate the inter-agency review process?

NCEA Response:

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

- iii. When will the agency release the revised assessment for public comment and peer review?

NCEA Response:

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

- iv. When will EPA finalize the IRIS formaldehyde assessment?

NCEA Response:

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

- c. Will you commit to providing the revised IRIS formaldehyde assessment to NAS for peer review by no later than the end of calendar year 2019?

NCEA Response:

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

- d. Please explain why formaldehyde is absent from the 2018 IRIS Program Outlook.

NCEA Response:

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Please explain the process used to develop the 2018 IRIS Program Outlook, from first inception to completion. In your response, please identify the program and regional offices, including the names of specific individuals, consulted or otherwise involved. Please also identify any other organizations and specific individuals consulted or otherwise involved. (Senator Markey sent this and the questions below.)

NCEA Response:

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

From: Blackburn, Elizabeth

Sent: Monday, January 21, 2019 10:07 AM

ED_002648_00002223-00002

To: Tina Bahadori <[Personal Matters / Ex. 6]>

Cc: Bahadori, Tina <Bahadori.Tina@epa.gov>; Ross, Mary <Ross.Mary@epa.gov> [Personal Matters / Ex. 6]

Subject: Re: [SPAM-Sender] Re: QFRs

Hi Tina

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5

Thank you!

Liz

Liz Blackburn

Chief of Staff

EPA Office of Research and Development

202-564-2192

Cell [Personal Matters / Ex. 6]

Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 19, 2019, at 2:35 PM, Tina Bahadori <[Personal Matters / Ex. 6]> wrote:

Ok, Liz. John is not on the excepted list. We will see what we can do with these ASAP.
Tina

On Jan 19, 2019, at 11:32 AM, Blackburn, Elizabeth <Blackburn.Elizabeth@epa.gov> wrote:

Hi Tina and Mary

Not surprisingly, NCEA wins the prize for the most responses ORD needs to respond to. There are four below and I'm attaching the full list simply for context.

You'll note that Jennifer requested that John V help craft the Six Cities and CASAC questions but I don't know if he's on the excepted list. I did not copy him and am inquiring as to his status.

Can you please have responses back to me by noon on Tuesday so that I can compile and get them to Jennifer, Chris, and David by Noon on Tuesday.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks

Liz

[Deliberative Process / Ex. 5] Please provide a copy of the IRIS Handbook that has been completed but is not yet published. (Sen Carper question)

[Deliberative Process / Ex. 5] The EPA Integrated Risk Information System (IRIS) program completed revisions of its formaldehyde assessment in the fall of 2017. In reports accompanying the Consolidated Appropriations Act of 2017, both chambers of

Congress directed that the agency contract with the National Academy of Sciences (NAS) to conduct an external peer review of the revised IRIS formaldehyde assessment. Accordingly, EPA has already provided \$1 million to the NAS for this purpose. The January 2018 EPA IRIS report to Congress indicated that “IRIS plans to deliver an External Review of its Formaldehyde Assessment for public comment and peer review in FY18.” I have repeatedly inquired about the status of the IRIS formaldehyde assessment and repeatedly requested that EPA advance the assessment to finalization—a process that involves intra- and inter-agency review, external peer review by the NAS, and public comment.

- a. Will the IRIS program continue to work on and finalize its formaldehyde assessment? If not, why not?
- b. Please provide the timeline and agenda items that will allow EPA to complete the remaining steps in the review process for the revised IRIS formaldehyde assessment.
 - i. When will the agency initiate the intra-agency review process?
 - ii. When will the agency initiate the inter-agency review process?
 - iii. When will the agency release the revised assessment for public comment and peer review?
 - iv. When will EPA finalize the IRIS formaldehyde assessment?
- c. Will you commit to providing the revised IRIS formaldehyde assessment to NAS for peer review by no later than the end of calendar year 2019?
- d. Please explain why formaldehyde is absent from the 2018 IRIS Program Outlook.

Please explain the process used to develop the 2018 IRIS Program Outlook, from first inception to completion. In your response, please identify the program and regional offices, including the names of specific individuals, consulted or otherwise involved. Please also identify any other organizations and specific individuals consulted or otherwise involved. (Senator Markey sent this and the questions below.)

Deliberative Process / Ex. 6 The Harvard “Six Cities” study, which linked air pollution and mortality risk, is a key study used in assessing many air quality regulations. In 2011, the EPA estimated that the control of particulate air pollution saved 160,000 lives in 2010, and that it will save 230,000 lives in 2020.

- a. Under the EPA’s proposed “Strengthening Transparency in Regulatory Science” rule, would the EPA be able to use the Six Cities study?
- b. As Administrator, do you see any danger in moving forward with the “Strengthening Transparency in Regulatory Science” rule and eliminating the use of studies like the Six Cities study

Deliberative Process / Ex. 5 At a recent meeting of the EPA Clean Air Scientific Advisory Committee (CASAC), multiple members of CASAC expressed doubt that they had the scientific experience to manage reviewing the science on particulate matter,

which includes divergent scientific fields from epidemiology, to toxicology to data science to instrumentation.

- a. Do you still believe that this CASAC has the requisite expertise to provide you with advice on particulate matter?
- b. Epidemiology is a key subject for assessing the health impacts of particulate matter such as early death and cardiovascular illness, yet not a single epidemiologist is on CASAC. How can CASAC adequately assess the science on particulate and health, when its members do not have expertise in key fields like epidemiology and when there is no particulate matter review panel?
- c. Has CASAC consulted with outside experts on PM and ozone standards? If so, with whom?

Liz Blackburn
Chief of Staff
EPA Office of Research and Development
202-564-2192
Mobile: Personal Matters / Ex. 6

<ORD 2019.01.18 - PROGRAM OFFICE DESIGNATED - ALL QFRs Wheeler
01.16.2019.docx>